

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,044	08/05/2003	Ryuta Sckine	16894	6986
23389	590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT	
SUITE 300	CITTTLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			3739	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			: <i>0V</i>
	Application No.	Applicant	(s)
	10/635,044	SEKINE E	T AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip R. Smith	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspond	ence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,	36(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be consid MONTHS from the mailing dat e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	e of this communication.
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	date of this communication, eve	en if timely filed, may reduce a	ıny
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.	ovember 2006		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		natters, prosecution a	is to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			, .
Diamonition of Claims	•.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-15,17-19,21-29 and 31-41 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,31-34,36 and 56 is 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 41 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 6,8-14,17,18,35 and 38 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 15,19,21-29,37,39 and 40 is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		to by the Everniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			85(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received i	n Application No	 lational Stage
application from the International Bureau	• •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Applica	ation (PTO 152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice 6) Other:		iuon (F.10-192)

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

[01] A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- [02] The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- [03] Claims 1, 6, 8-14, 17-18, 35 & 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams (6,119,913), for the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action, paper number 01092006.
- [04] As noted in the Advisory Action of 10/24/2006, Adams discloses a "conventional endoscope 16" which is "articulated" (9/9), shown in Figure 9a. It is maintained that the second insertion instrument disclosed by Adams has a space, and that the first insertion instrument disclosed by Adams ("16") is "adapted to be bent in the space to a side opposite to the living tissue that is the object of treatment."
- [05] It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It

Application/Control Number: 10/635,044

Art Unit: 3739

does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Allowable Subject Matter

[06] Claim 41 is allowed.

[07] Claims 4, 15, 19, 21-29, 37, 39 & 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- [08] Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- [09] In response to Applicant's argument that "Adams simply does not disclose or suggest lifting the tissue into the device by bending but by withdrawal of the endoscope into the device," it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

 Applicant's characterization of Adams' device is neither conceded nor disputed; it is respectfully maintained that the invention of Adams anticipates the claimed structural limitations. Namely, that the articulated endoscope disclosed by Adams is capable of the descriptive usage recited in claims 1 & 38.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,044

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

- [10] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip R. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 6087 and whose email address is philip.smith@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00am and 5:00pm.
- [11] If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272 4764.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS+

Lieda C. M. Dvorak Supervisott (Lingt Examiner

Page 4

P7017 37.